



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/858,130	05/15/2001	Stephen J. Fantone	0215/US	1748
30333	7590	10/19/2005	EXAMINER	
FRANCIS J. CAUFIELD			DIEP, NHON THANH	
6 APOLLO CIRCLE			ART UNIT	
LEXINGTON, MA 02421-7025			PAPER NUMBER	

2613

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/858,130

Applicant(s)

FANTONE ET AL.

Examiner

Nhon T. Diep

Art Unit

2613

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 July 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 8 and 10-12 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,5-7,9 and 13-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 May 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. With regard to the 112, 1st paragraph rejection to claims 1, 4-7, 9, 13-20 (6/6/2005) as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. In view of the teachings of a newly discovered prior art to Weinert and the applicant's response by amending the specification (7/26/2005), the rejection is withdrawn.
2. The indicated allowability of claim formerly independent claim 3, now incorporated to claim 1 and claim 15 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Weinert (US 6,163,309) and further considerations of prior art. The examiner sincerely apologizes for any inconvenience caused. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-2, 5-7, 9, 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zernov et al (US 6,097,424), in view of Weinert (US 6,163,309) and in view of Caimi et al (US 4,777,501).

Zernov et al discloses a submersible video viewing system comprising the same subsurface video observation system comprising: a solid state imager wherein the solid state imager has substantially sensitivity to infrared lights that operate in the range of 700-900 nanometers (fig. 4, col. 6, ln. 49 – col. 7, ln. 4);

Art Unit: 2613

video signal generating means for generating a video signal corresponding to the image formed on the solid state imager (col. 4, ln. 19-22); mounting means for mounting the solid state imager on a watercraft so that the imager forms an image of an underwater area adjacent the watercraft (fig. 1); and a video display device arranged to receive the video signal and to display a visible image corresponding to the image formed on the solid state imager (col. 1, ln. 15-17 and col. 4, ln. 16-18) as specified in claims 1 and 15; is sensitive to visible light in the range of about 400 to 700 nm (intra blue = visible light) as specified in claim 4; the mounting means are arranged to mount the solid state on the hull of the watercraft (fig. 1) as specified in claims 6 and 18; the mounting means are arranged to mount the solid state imager on an outboard motor attachable to the watercraft (fig. 10) as specified in claims 9 and 19; a source of infrared radiation mounted on the outboard motor so as to direct infrared radiation to an area imaged by the solid state imager (inherently included) as specified in claims 12 and 20; the video display device comprises a liquid crystal display (col. 1, ln. 15-17) as specified in claim 13; a source infrared radiation arranged to direct infrared radiation on to an area imaged by the solid imager (fig. 4, col. 6, ln. 49-60) as specified in claim 14. It is noted that Zernov et al does not particularly disclose that:

- a. the solid state imager has substantially sensitivity to infrared radiation in the range of about 900 to about 1400 nm as specified in claims 1 and 15;
- b. a solid state imager having an operating mode as specified in claims 1 and 15;

Art Unit: 2613

c. the solid state imager is a charge coupled device as specified in claims 2 and 16;

d. has a first operating mode wherein it is sensitive to both visible and infrared radiation and a second operating mode in which it is sensitive to visible radiation only as specified in claims 5 and 17; and

e. a plurality of the solid state imagers are provided to enable viewing through the entire angle of 360 degrees horizontal surrounding the watercraft as specified in claim 7.

With regard to a: Weinert teaches a sensor assembly adapted to detect radiation emitted from the scene at wavelengths between 250 nanometers (nm) and 12 microns (12000 nm). And therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Zernov et al by extending the range of the imager's sensitivity to infrared radiation from 900 nm to 12000 nm as taught by Weinert. Doing so would help to detect and record images outside visible spectrum.

With regard to b-d: Caimi et al teaches a switching device used to switch between two sources of different wavelength emitters (col. 3, ln. 54-58) and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Zernov et al by using the switching device as taught by Caimi et al to operate the camera system with different emitting radiation wavelengths namely intra blue and infrared radiations. Doing so would help to save power. Further more, it would have been obvious

Art Unit: 2613

that the cameras as disclosed by Zernov et al would have been very small sized CCD cameras since small sized CCD cameras would help to save spaces.

With regard to e: It is noted that, Zernov et al does further disclose that another object of the invention is to provide a video system that can accommodate multiple cameras to provide forward and reverse viewing and/or an expanded field of view. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a plurality of the solid state imagers or a motor for rotating the solid state imager relative to the hull of the watercraft, and associated indicator for providing a visual indication of the direction in which the solid state imager is pointing as an alternative to multiple cameras system so as to obtain images all around the cameras including images viewing through the entire angle of 360 degrees horizontal surrounding the watercraft.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 8, 10-12 are allowed.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

a. Scheps (US 5,412,674) discloses a compact rapidly modulatable diode-pumped visible laser.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon T. Diep whose telephone number is 571-272-7328. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f.

Art Unit: 2613

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ND
10/17/2005

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Nhon Diep', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

**NHON DIEP
PRIMARY EXAMINER**